# CITY OF MILWAUKIE DESIGN AND LANDMARKS COMMITTEE NOTES

Milwaukie City Hall 10722 SE Harrison St Wednesday, December 12, 2018 6:30 PM

#### **COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT**

Lauren Loosveldt, Chair Cynthia Schuster, Vice Chair Mary Neustadter Kyle Simukka

#### STAFF PRESENT

Brett Kelver, Associate Planner (staff liaison) Denny Egner, Planning Director

#### **MEMBERS ABSENT**

**Brett Laurila** 

30 -1 8

#### 1.0 Call to Order – Procedural Matters

Chair Lauren Loosveldt called the meeting to order at 6:41 p.m.

# 2.0 Design and Landmarks Committee Notes

2.1 December 3, 2018

**Chair Loosveldt** called for any revisions to the notes. No changes were suggested and the notes were approved unanimously.

#### 3.0 Information Items

Associate Planner Brett Kelver explained the Code of Conduct form that had been distributed to the group prior to the meeting for the members to sign, noting that it was part of a first-time effort for all of the City's boards, commissions, and committees. He also provided a reminder about the Housing Forum debriefing session scheduled with City Council on Tuesday, December 18, with Planning Director Denny Egner giving more information about what to expect at that meeting.

- 4.0 Audience Participation None
- 5.0 Public Meetings None

## 6.0 Worksession Items

6.1 Downtown Design Review process (continued) Staff Person: Brett Kelver, Associate Planner

The group resumed its review of the draft Design Review document and made suggestions about the following elements:

## N. Private Open Spaces

After additional discussion, the group agreed to strike the credit for open space (50% reduction) proposed in Standard A-b. Chair Loosveldt and Vice Chair Cynthia
 Schuster suggested that the design standards require too little in the way of open space to offer the proposed credit.

## O. Pedestrian-Oriented Open Spaces

- Regarding the staff suggestion to consider allowing the meeting of this element's standards to contribute toward meeting the standards of the "Private Open Space" element (Element N), the group was not sure it agreed and wondered if the requirements for public and private open space should remain separate.
- It was noted that the Milwaukie Municipal Code (MMC) has standards related to this element in MMC Subsection 19.304.5.H (Open Space) and MMC Subsection 19.508.4.G (Open Space/Plazas).
- For Standard B, there was a suggestion to replace the current language with something to the effect of, "Where adjacent to building walls, each adjacent wall must have some transparency." [This will need more specificity to make it workable as a clear and objective standard.]
- In Standard C, clarify that the standard applies where "adjacent to the public sidewalk."
- Regarding Standard G, consider setting a height limit to maintain visual accessibility.
- Regarding Standard H, consider establishing a standard that lists allowed and/or
  prohibited materials, perhaps using a short matrix. In Standard H-a, strike the word
  "pavement" in the phrase "gravel pavement." Standard H-c (prohibiting adjacent
  unscreened blank walls) may not be necessary, given some of the other standards and
  suggested changes.
- Consider combining Guidance B and D to accommodate some grade separations, while still generally discouraging significant changes of grade in pedestrian-oriented open spaces.
- In Guidance E, consider revising to read, "Outdoor spaces should be human-scaled, accessible, durable, and attractive, easy to maintain, and "alive" whether they are intimate and quiet spaces or more active and boisterous."
- Revise Guidance F to read, "Trees, shrubs, and plants should help define walkways, create appropriate transitions from the park to the street and provide visual interest be provided in order to create visual interest." A corresponding standard should be created, perhaps noting the types of plantings required and/or establishing a minimum planting percentage.
- Revise Guidance G to delete the first sentence and modify the second sentence to read, "Public open spaces They should also be secure and feel safe during both day and evening hours." Modify this language to clarify that lighting should be provided to create secure and safe areas during evening (or active) hours, keeping in mind the Dark Skies provisions.
- In Guidance H, revise the introductory sentence to replace "pedestrian-oriented spaces" with "public open spaces."

# P. Landscaping

- Strike the requirement for a specific number of trees based on a certain square footage of open space. Consider establishing more specific requirements for landscaping when developers elect to provide it.
- Consider moving pieces of this element into the Private and Public Open Spaces elements instead of retaining a separate element for Landscaping.

- In Standard A-c, provide some clarification about "planted" groundcover (instead of "living" ground cover").
- Regarding Standard A-d, consider making a distinction between bark dust and wood chips, as they have different environmental/ecological impacts.
- Revise Guidance A as follows: "Landscaping should be used to provide a canopy for open spaces and courtyards, and to buffer the development from adjacent properties and to provide visual interest and texture."
- There is some question as to whether Guidance B (regarding preservation of existing healthy trees) is very practical or applicable in the downtown context. Suggestion to delete the second sentence about handling tree preservation conflicts through the Design Review process.
- Revise Guidance D as follows: "Hardscapes shall be shaded with trees where possible, as a means of reducing energy costs (heat island effect) and improving stormwater management.

# Q. Outdoor and Exterior Building Lighting

- In the Purpose/Intent, replace the final phrase, "negative light spillover effects" with "light pollution (both spill and glare)."
- Standard B is not necessary if the Building Code covers these clearance standards.
- The final phrase in Standard D ("to minimize excessive light spillover onto adjacent properties") is too discretionary to be a standard. Change "minimize" to "eliminate"?
- Collapse Standards E, F, and G into K, making Standard K more robust. Clarify the
  sentiment of Standard E that the standards apply where lighting is installed, since
  lighting is not required everywhere. Where the language or spirit of Standard G is
  retained, clarify that lighting may be "ground- or building-mounted" and not "on site or
  building mounted." For secondary building entrances, set a minimum illumination level of
  at least 1.0 foot-candles. Check with the Police Department [or CPTED
  recommendations (Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design)] for suggestions
  for this revised standard.
- The language of Standard L appears redundant of Standard D—incorporate the L language into D.
- The standard for façade lighting (Standard M) needs to be revisited to remove discretionary phrasing (e.g., "noteworthy architectural features") and to emphasize accent lighting. The group noted 3 types of building lighting that need to be addressed: 1) sidewalk or street level, 2) architectural or accent, and 3) signage.
- Standard N seems potentially discretionary, in terms of how it would be verified.
- In Guidance A, remove the phrase, "especially any with residential uses" from the first sentence.
- Is Guidance B necessary, given that the code had specific standards for off-street parking lots?
- Guidance C, D, E, H, J, K, and N all seem like they could be deleted (either unnecessary or undesired).

With that, the group had completed its initial review of the draft. **Mr. Kelver** and **Mr. Egner** confirmed that staff would develop a revised draft to incorporate the suggestions and

outstanding questions and then determine how best to use the remaining consultant budget to help advance the project.

- 7.0 Other Business/Updates None
- 8.0 Design and Landmarks Committee Discussion Items -- None
- 9.0 Forecast for Future Meetings

Dec. 18, 2018

Comprehensive Plan update (debrief from Housing Forum)

Jan. 7, 2019

Regular meeting

Feb. 4, 2019

Regular meeting

Chair Loosveldt adjourned the meeting at 9:09 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Brett Kelver, Associate Planner

Lauren Loosveldt, Chair