Summary of Survey and Planning Commission Comments on Draft Goals and Policies

Economic Development

Goal 10.1: Current and Future Economic Land Use

- Change "nimble" to "adaptable"
- Policy feedback:
    - Policy 10.1.2: Need to better define what is meant by the "sharing economy"

Goal 10.2: Economic Land Supply

- Re-name goal "Redevelopment Opportunities" instead of land supply, given our lack of vacant land?
- Policy feedback:
    - Policy 10.2.2: Does "improve infrastructure" need to be stronger given this is a top priority? Need a stronger connection between policy language and what we need,
    - Policy 10.2.5: If we are going to aim to accommodate more employment growth within home-based businesses, we also need to review and evaluate their potential impacts on residential neighborhoods and infrastructure

Goal 10.3: Workforce, Training and Collaboration

- Policy feedback:
    - Policy 10.3.4: Is "develop strategies" strong enough given this was the second most important topic in the survey?

Urban Growth Management

Goal 14.4: Annexation

- Should we have a policy encouraging outreach to unincorporated areas, so that we can showcase the benefits of being part of Milwaukie?

Goal 14.6: Urban Form

- Does "urban form" need to be better explained/defined?

Community Engagement

Goal 1.2: Promote Inclusion and Diversity
- Clarify "geographic areas" - within Milwaukie Planning Area?
- Include reducing barriers - "Encourage involvement and reduce barriers to participation among…."

Goal 1.3: Maintain Transparency and Accountability
- Policy feedback:
    - "Prioritize funding"—highest ranked topic, but may want to change word to "provide"
    - Policy 1.3.1: The Planning Commission questioned whether they were the appropriate board to evaluate all of the City's community engagement efforts. Based on discussions

with the City Manager's Office, staff has revised the language to clarify that the Planning Commission should be evaluating engagement related to "land use and transportation planning."

Goal 1.4: Uphold Neighborhood District Associations

- Will the CIAC serve as a governing body over NDAs?
- Are NDAs part of goal one process?
- Add "….as part of a broader engagement process"?
- Take out as a stand-alone goal, but list as policy within other goals?
    - Need to emphasize in other policies that engaging community members involves a lot more than just outreach to the NDA's.

History, Arts and Culture

Goal 1: Milwaukie's Heritage

- Policy feedback
    - Too many compared to other topics?
    - Is there a natural place to discuss the environmental benefits of historic preservation and adaptive reuse?
    - Policy 1.12: Planning Commissioners discussed whether the language was a bit too loose, and whether the City should develop stronger language for protecting historic resources (should it be encouraged or required?).

Goal 2: Art that Reflects the Community

- Is "commission art that reflects diversity" needed, or is it encompassed in other policies? Is this an implementation action?

Anything else missing?